

RAW FILE  
IGF  
DCCG #88  
SEPTEMBER 10, 2024  
10:00 A.M. UTC

Services provided by:  
Caption First, Inc.  
P.O. Box 3066  
Monument, CO 80132  
719-941-9557  
[Www.captionfirst.com](http://www.captionfirst.com)

This text, document, or file is based on live transcription. Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART), captioning, and/or live transcription are provided in order to facilitate communication accessibility and may not be a totally verbatim record of the proceedings. This text, document, or file is not to be distributed or used in any way that may violate copyright law.

(Captioner standing by)

>> MARKUS KUMMER: It is top of the hour, but we're not very numerous on this call. So let's wait another one or two minutes.

(Hellos)

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Okay. It is one minute past the hour. I would therefore suggest that we start with the meeting. My co-facilitator cannot make it to the call today. She apologizes but the German IGF that she's involved in, she cannot make this call. She has to deal with that meeting. Celine has sent out the Agenda, posted in the chat, fairly straightforward with traditional elements.

Any comment or can we agree to adopt the Agenda as proposed?  
It is in the chat.

>> JORN: It only shows messages that were posted after you joined.

>> CELINE: I will do it right away.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Sorry, I wasn't aware of that. It was also sent out beforehand. So is there any comments on the proposed Agenda?

Doesn't seem to be the case, so I take it we'll adopt the Agenda as proposed by the Secretary.

And the second item, the introduction of the new DC Focal Point from the IGF Secretariat. Celine had introduced Roman in the chat. There is Roman waving. I give Roman the floor so he has the opportunity to present himself. Please, you have the floor.

>> SECRETARIAT: Thank you, Markus, good day everyone. I'm Roman, the new staff member of the IGF Secretariat. I'm working here for three weeks. I was a former MAG member in 2019-21. So, I'm quite aware of all the processes and will be happy to support you and all the endeavours. Thank you.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you Roman. I think I can speak on behalf of all the participants that we are working and looking forward to working with him in his support. Thank you, Roman.

With that, can we move to Agenda Item number 3, future integration in IGF processes. That is fairly abstract title as it is. But that also reminds us as we had done the exercise some years back in 21, it is. It was then MAG Chair encouraged us to do this introspective exercise and to look into who DCs are and how they function. And also look into how we could move forward.

You will remember, as a result of that, I think there was an excellent paper produced by Serena, who was the consultant, the Focal Point and the Secretariat linked with DCs. There were many suggestions also dealing with the future integration through IGF processes. Judith, did you have your hand up? Did you want to take the floor?

>> JUDITH: Roman, I got confused, that he said he was here for three weeks or he's going to only be here for a short term. He's a permanent new staff member.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: That's correct. Sorry. I had overlooked this question. Yes, in the chat, yes.

That was still the previous Agenda Item introducing Roman. No, no. He's a staff member. I don't know actually how long his contract is, but he's not just a few months, but I think the contracts are yearly or no. I don't know.

>> JUDITH: Yeah, sometimes we used to have interns that were here only for a few months.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: That's correct. Yes. So back to the Agenda Item. Look into the future integration of the IGF of the DCs in the IGF processes.

I reminded you all to the paper that Serena had produced as a result for the fairly extensive deliberation responsive questionnaires. And there was for instance, a chapter on DC outputs. There was a chapter on DC coordination and cooperation activities. Relations with MAG and IGF Secretariat.

And then also a big part of the paper was Dynamic Coalition in the processes. I think it would be worthwhile to revisit this (audio skipping)

It was very open in terms of conclusions. It did not say "recommendation" but it called it issues to explore, such as improve the planning, rubbing and promotion of DC sessions. Create more opportunities for DCs to make use of the IGF meetings to advance their work. Enhance the presence of DCs in the annual IGF meetings. Consider approaches to increase the involvement and contributions of DCs in the planning of annual IGF meetings. And also issues to explore relations with other IGF intercessional work streams. So on.

So there is a lot of meat or thought in this paper. And as I said, it will be worthwhile revisiting. Celine and Roman, I rely on your guidance on how you would like the discussion on this Agenda Item to go.

>> CELINE: Yes, we have Chengetai here on the call. I would pass the floor to Chengetai. If you give us another minute. I think he's

in a short discussion with a colleague. But basically why do we have this Agenda Item in today's call, it is really to just have some concrete ideas and next steps on how we would like to include Dynamic Coalition in future IGFs. So Chengetai, I give the floor to you.

>> CHENGETAI: Thanks, Celine. Yes, I mean the Dynamic Coalition are a part of the IGF, but there isn't something that you can say has been endorsed by the IGF as such. Because the IGF doesn't really have a centre.

Things can be endorsed. Best thing -- yeah. It is different. Nobody can speak for the whole IGF. I speak for the Secretariat, the MAG Chair speaks for the MAG, et cetera.

As far as scheduling goes, the presence of Dynamic Coalition in the annual meeting itself, that is a conversation that you as the Dynamic Coalition need to have with the MAG. One of the MAG's responsibilities is the Programme and schedule of the IGF. So I know this has been, and last year it was a discussion that was prominent when discussed how many Dynamic Coalition can the IGF have. That has to come from a conversation with the Dynamic Coalition and the MAG and the MAG can make a recommendation on how many sessions to give. But it must be also known that if you give something to Paul you have to take it from Peter as well because everything is saturated. And we're not in a position to make the pie larger as far as the sessions are in the IGF.

As far as the other intercessional activities, I think if not all of them, with some of the Dynamic Coalition, they can very well coordinate with some of the other intercessional activities, like we have the parliamentary track and Dynamic Coalition, which I'm sure the -- can have a session with the Parliamentarians and see what they have come up with as well and have a discussion with them and also for other den -- Dynamic Coalition as such and the other sessions.

I think that is all I have to say. If somebody has some direct questions.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you, Chengetai. I see that Dino has his hand up.

>> DINO: Thank you, Markus and Celine and Chengetai. I wanted to make a comment on this topic.

My understanding from attending some of the meeting of the virtual MAG that there is it, if you will, an important milestone represented by the review of the mandate of the IGF and WSIS schedule for next year.

And my understanding is that there have been conversation about working on a report, on a document that that basically will document the value proposition, the result and deliverable that throughout this year, 19 years that the IGF has made.

So I think that maybe there could be an opportunity in light of this important milestone, to work collaboratively between representative of the Dynamic Coalition with the MAG, with the other entities that are part of the IGF in creating this document and including the value proposition of the Dynamic Coalition and maybe come up with some proposal as Chengetai alluded to, how we can better divide the pie related to the time available, related to the slots, to identify

a session where it can be interaction, can be inclusion, involvement of DC with other representatives.

So basically what I'm alluding to is just updating or refining to find the suggestion or comment that Markus was making, about the document Celine had developed in the past.

Maybe doing this enlightening and refreshing as a whole, that there can be reviewed next year. Thank you.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you. Olivier, please.

>> OLIVIER: On this point, I'm for collaboration across the Dynamic Coalitions and policy networks and other groups working and doing intercessional work, but I think there needs to be a step made for easier communication between the groups.

I will give an example. I tried contacting the policy network a number of times. All I have is the public information published on the website. I emailed that public address. I haven't received any answer. I have tried it a number of times. You know, the Secretariat should be able to help in getting the different groups together. We did that well in preparing the DC session in the IGF, thanks to Celine that is great in putting people together and so on.

I don't know whether there is a wider possibility for the Secretariat to put us in touch with the right people in the organisation. So we don't have this situation of taking months to talk within the group and the same framework. Thank you.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you very much for that. It is quite often that what seems to be the very little step are the big impediments. I see that Chengetai would like to react to that.

>> CHENGETAI: Yes Olivier, we are demand driven. So if there is a demand for it, just ask us. We'll put you into touch with the consultant that is servicing the PNAI. That is the easiest way to do it. We will not randomly put -- there might not be an interest. You indicated an interest. So we will put you together and suggest a conversation.

>> OLIVIER: Thank you, Chengetai. I gave a specific example.

Across the board, if we put communication between the different groups with intercessional work and ease this communication and we can communicate faster and not be frustrated with no communication.

You will find a Programme with sessions from different parts of the organisation that are very similar to each other. I already had people tell me, do people talk with each other before the Programme is put together? Okay, I didn't go into the way the MAG is looking at everything and the whole Programme is put together. Certainly there is a frustration from some, first, I can't get a hold of other people. Secondly, there are so many sessions that look like each other, do these people ever talk to each other or are they all in their own room and own track.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: That is a big question. It is a bit of a danger that we're all in our silos. The walls in our silos are too big. It is a common comment.

Back to the paper we produced three years ago now. It was not in reaction to Dino's comment. It was not my suggestion to update that paper, but look again at the issues to explore that the paper mentioned.

These are also part of the points raised now are part of that package that we actually delve deeper into that and actually discuss these issues which were raised there. We're all aware of the issues. But let's discuss them and let's improve on them.

Also, I think Chengetai's comment that DCs need to discuss that with the MAG. That circles back to one of the suggestions that have come up, that the DCs would actually like to have a meeting with the MAG in Riyadh precisely to set the apartments for future cooperation.

So that will be a big ask from us, that we find a slot where we actually sit together and have a discussion.

And the other ask DCs have is that we again have a replacement of liaison person to the DC and the MAG. We used to have one. We have been without one for over a year. That would help the interaction between the DCs and the MAG.

This is also, again, has been mentioned in that paper three years ago that in order to improve the interaction between the various components, better use should make liaisons can link the components of the IGF ecosystem together.

And lastly, the paper dino -- Dino suggested that we have -- it is a good suggestion and also a question of how to do it. But there is that will come back later in the Agenda, there is essentially the intention of the Secretariat to prepare a brochure on what the DCs are and who they are and also give some of their accomplishments for the proposed booth we will have in Riyadh. But it is slightly less ambitious than what Dino suggested.

That is also something I think we can discuss for further work. And the idea was to have it for the WSIS + 20 review there, to have a package that showed the accomplishments of the IGF that would include accomplishments of the DCs.

Are there any other comments or suggestions under this Agenda Item?

And we then retain the appropriate that we would like to have a separate meeting MAG and DCs in Riyadh as a concrete proposal and outcome of the session, the second again, would be that we reiterate the need for having a MAG liaison of the DCs.

If there are no other comments, then can we retain these as two concrete outcomes of this discussion? And with that can move on to the next Agenda Item that would be preparing for the annual DC session at the IGF.

The DCs have made a proposal and that was discussed at the last MAG meeting. But the MAG then suggested there should be more alignment with the MAG main session. Would Celine or Roman like to comment on that?

>> CELINE: I put in the chat, that we drafted to the virtual MAG meeting that we had educational background of August. And indeed the MAG asked for more alignment with their session that is there organizing on the future of Internet Governance. So what is going to happen is tomorrow, this Working Group, working on the dedicated main session will meet and will have Markus and myself and of course Roman also taking part in this main session so that we indicate a little more,

you know, our plan and try to align with their main session preparation.

Then we'll come back to you of course, informing you about the outcome of that meeting. But in addition to that, we also wanted to share again the survey that we sent to all of the Dynamic Coalition some time ago.

Because this survey is basically providing the basic content to further work on the DC main session proposal. For information until now we only received 10 responses. So from 10 different Dynamic Coalitions and it would be interesting to receive more information indicating for example, to what GDC objective your Dynamic Coalition is aligning to.

I see that Judith is asking who is in this Working Group. Basically the MAG Working Groups on the various main sessions are open to all MAG members and also some observers. It is how every year we organize and prepare the main sessions.

Different MAG members depending on their interests are forming the Working Groups to prepare the main session. So yes, let me know if you have any other questions.

>> JUDITH: Yes, I do. This is Judith. So is this different? Last year, the main session we had volunteers from the DC coordinate from the different DCs working and organizing the main session. So this is not going to be the same way? We're not going to help organize the main session for the DCs?

>> CELINE: Not at all. Basically two things happening. MAG members organize the IGF main sessions. This is their task basically. We as the Dynamic Coalition main session, we organize -- okay. The Dynamic Coalition organize the DC main session. But the MAG is organizing the IGF main sessions. So I know it can be confusing, but what I want to say by that is MAG members have their Working Groups that are currently organizing for IGF main session.

One of them is on the future of Internet Governance. This is where the MAG asked us because the DC main session is about the GDC. And wants Global alignment. Markus and I and Roman, the main session Working Group. So it is aligning. Then we'll let you know if we received more information from MAG members.

I think we will go further with the proposal around DCs and the Global Digital Compact.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you, Celine. Thank you. You have broken up a bit when you introduced that. I think that was also why it was not quite clear what this alignment was meant to be.

But we are going to listen to that session of the main -- tomorrow. And our approach was essentially see what the DCs can contribute to the GDC.

So I don't think that there is that much of an overlap, we will see and may have to tweak our proposal. Dino, your hand is up.

>> DINO: Thank you, Markus, just a question. I understand from Celine, there is the possibility to provide input to the DC in the main session of Internet Governance. If we want to provide input do we send an email to Celine or is there still a survey that is designed to collect this input?

>> CELINE: Thank you, Dino. I shared the link in the chat. I will reshare it for your reference.

>> DINO: Perfect. I had opened the Google Doc and didn't see that. Thank you.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Well, the Google Doc remains open. We may have to tweak it a bit, but the basic approach is to see what the DCs can contribute to the GDC broad themes.

And in response to the survey, it was maybe slightly underwhelming on behalf of the DCs. I think we had 10 responses. 10 responded. And would have hoped to be more. In any case, it provides solid basis for selection of speakers.

I see Juta was able to join us. Welcome. You have your hand up. Please.

>> JUTA: Yes. Thank you for that, Markus. Sorry for being late, I had another meeting to join because we have the German Internet Governance Forum tomorrow.

To clarify, if you look at the Google Doc, you will see the session somehow builds on the Dynamic Coalition's main session we had last year, where we took an approach to show the contribution of the Dynamic Coalitions to achieve the SDGs and more or less in thinking in a parallel way, we were considering that Dynamic Coalitions each in their individual work are contributing or may be able to contribute to the GDC.

That was the exercise we made before asking how is your work related the GDC? Where are the links to the GDC? And that should be in the focus of the main session. And I do think it -- the overlap and the limit is now depending on the main session prepared by the MAG is going along the GDC or more general in regard to further development of Internet Governance.

You will see that probably in the meeting tomorrow.

>> DINO: Thank you, Juta. Much appreciated.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Any other questions? Comments?

So again, we will have to leave that open and we will get back to this group after tomorrow's session.

And see whether we need any adjustments. Thank you, Juta for your further explanation. That was very helpful.

Can we then move to the next Agenda Item? Let me look at the Agenda. The next Agenda Item is ... okay. They're all rather related. DCs at the IGF 24. IGF main session proposal next steps. We have done that. And the joint DC booth and next steps. Yes. There, again, back to Celine and Roman. Who would like to introduce the Agenda Item?

>> CELINE: Thank you, Markus. I shared again with you the joint Google Document on the joint DC booth proposal. For those that will be on-site in Riyadh and are interested to contribute to the joint DC booth, you can sign up here, indicating your name and also whether you will be participating on-site or not.

And now that the IGF draft schedule has been published, and we're still updating it with the information that we received from the various Dynamic Coalitions working together. You will have a better overview on your own schedule. After that, we will create a timetable so that

you indicate also on what date and what time you would like to staff the booth.

So to help us out throughout the week to always have a booth that is properly staffed, for some participants to pass by and engage with the Coalition members.

Also, why do we have this Agenda Item in today's meeting, to just discuss also and asking you what kind of information would you like to display on the booth? So what the Secretariat would like to do is have a joint Dynamic Coalition brochure. But we want to ask you if there is any other document that you think will be useful to display at the joint DC booth. Thank you.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Judith, please?

>> JUDITH: We're refining the accessibility guideline. I think that would be a great item for the joint booth in the pamphlet, if that is something we might be able to give you.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Definitely. That is some very concrete output you can present.

>> JUDITH: I will mention that to Dr. Shabeer.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: And again, for those who remember, we had tried have a joint booth in -- was it 2016? In Mexico and the difficulty was to find people who were willing to be at the booth. And also the idea is also that the booth, yes, of course, if you are there as a representative of your Dynamic Coalition, obviously you will talk about your Dynamic Coalition, but you should also be able to talk about the Dynamic Coalitions as a whole and also know a thing or two about the other Dynamic Coalitions that will be present at the booth. And the difficulty is obviously is very busy at the IGF meeting. And to find the time. That is why we already have -- thanks Celine for that. Have a prepared schedule where you can fill in your names and obviously (audio skipping)

In the particular time slot. So ...

>> JUDITH: Markus, when do we need to give the information to you so it will be ready for the booth?

>> MARKUS KUMMER: When? Well, essentially we would like the booth to be what do you say in gender-neutral language? Cannot say manned.

>> CELINE: Staffed.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: 9:00 a.m. until 6:00 p.m. It is a long day. It will be inevitable that there will be moments when nobody is there. The ambition is to have somebody at the booth during the Conference hours.

Other questions?

>> JUDITH: Yeah, when do we need to get the Documents to you?

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Oh, that is another question. Celine.

Juta has her hand up. Please.

>> JUTA: I don't have an answer to Judith's question. Usually I remember the IGF was populated during the lunch break. Many are taking the lunch and walking around. I think it is important at least to have the booth staffed over the break time.

And then most of the people being there will need a bit of flexibility in their own schedule. So it is quite difficult to announce



in advance I will be there on Monday, Tuesday or Thursday or whatsoever.

But probably we could -- I suggested I think previously that we probably agree on a time where we can meet maybe 15 minutes before the official Programme starts in the morning and then saying, okay, today, I will have a slot at 3:00 where I can be at the booth or someone else will say, I don't have so many sessions in the morning, I will be able to take over the morning.

But I think it is a bit difficult to say that in advance of the meeting.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you, your approach will be to be very flexible on that and see it as it goes. That is one way of looking at it. It is true that flexibility is needed. But I just do remember, for instance, if you have some spare time also during the day, it is quite nice to walk through the village and chat with people.

There are always people there, if it is nicely done. I think last year it worked extremely well. Your point is well taken. The lunch hour is the time where people usually converge in the village.

Other questions? Points to remember?

>> CELINE: I want to say regarding Juta's comment. It is certainly not now that DC members need to commit to certain timing. The timetable we'll be developing is closer to the IGF 2024 when most people have a better overview of their own schedule. What is important to us is that the booth is indeed staffed during the whole week. Because we want to avoid having booths that are just not, you know, again without any on-site presence, when there are people walking around. And this year, what is going to be more different is that within the IGF village, there will be a stage with different sessions happening, lunches, awards, sessions, et cetera, et cetera. More participants will be around. We think of course it is important to have perhaps more DC members at the booth during lunch hours, which are by the way between 12:00 and 2:00 p.m. But we do expect a lot of participants also outside of lunch hours because we have the stage going on.

To respond to Judith's question asking when do documents have to be ready? We will have to see in what form we'll be offering the various Documents. So we would like to have this DC brochure in an electronic and hard copy. Perhaps your Documents can be electronic also so you have more time to work on the guidelines prior to the week of the IGF.

And in case you want to have it printed, well it is really up to you to decide whether you want to finalize it. I hope this answered your question.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you, Celine. And also in the chat, Juta asked the question whether we should make it mandatory for each DC to take care of one or two slots. That is a question that is up for you all to decide.

I think why not to make it mandatory, but then on the other hand, it is that might not go down well, that is what Juta said in the chat, but it would be appreciated.

I think we should at least encourage the Dynamic Coalition as a minimum to take at least care of one or two slots so it is really

a joint booth of all Dynamic Coalitions.

>> JUTA: Sorry for cutting in. It is a good sign for the MAG as well. Not only asking for slots for the DC and giving something back in staffing the booth and showing the engagement of the Dynamic Coalitions.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Right. Yes. I see it that way as well. But I think, you know, I see it more as okay let's make it voluntary but encourage strongly to make this voluntary contribution.

Yes, Olivier points out some DCs might not have the necessary personnel to do that on-site. So there is always that. It should be a best effort that you should make and let's record it as that we encourage all DCs to do their best to have people at the booth for at least one or two slots.

With that, can we move to the next Agenda Item? Which, again, I think we have already touched on that.

And other involvement in the coordination or DC or MAG meeting. I think we said that before, we would like to pick up to what we used to do before the pandemic, that we actually have (audio skipping)

Of what and would be done. (Audio skipping)

We somehow forgot to do it. Next element will be what we discussed already. To ask for a joint meeting between the DC Coordination Group and the MAG to discuss on how to better integrate the DCs in the overall IGF ecosystem. And that will be a challenge to find it, I think to find the time slot. But I'm sure the Secretariat can do their best. We hope that the MAG agreed to that proposal.

Are there other suggestions, comments? Coordination Group meeting, that is easy enough to organize, as long as -- obviously that should be more towards the end of the meeting. Maybe not necessarily the last afternoon. But -- could also be the third day. But more towards the end and not the beginning where we actually can take stock of how it went and definitely after the DC main session. The other thing would be then have to also look at the schedule. Obviously it will make sense if you have the DC MAG meeting that you would have the DC Coordination Group meeting before the MAG meeting. So that we can also jointly prepare for that meeting. Are there comments, suggestions on this particular Agenda Item?

>> CELINE: Markus, if I ask jump in for clarity. We have this as an Agenda Item today to make concrete proposals and to go further and ask the MAG for a meeting.

What I would suggest is that we schedule for example, the first DC meeting on day 0. This is where we have a little flexibility when it comes to the room and where there is no DC session scheduled.

So perhaps it is more easy -- and easier for all of you to attend this meeting. And when it comes to the MAG meeting, we would let the MAG know at their upcoming virtual meeting end of September, that there is a wish for the Dynamic Coalitions to have a meeting with the MAG. Then we can see how and where to schedule it best.

There will be also because it is something that has opinion discussed in the past Dynamic Coalition Coordination Group meeting, by using the booth for example, to get some informal gatherings or

whatsoever amongst Dynamic Coalitions. There is an opportunity, I mentioned it to you informally in one of the past meetings. There will be a stage. I don't know to what extent the Dynamic Coalitions would be interested in having a short slot in the IGF village stage to perhaps introduce the Dynamic Coalitions and also encourage participants to come to the booth. So that can also be an opportunity for DCs to be more involved during the week of the IGF.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you. If I understand you correctly, you suggest having a DC meeting -- a DC Coordination Group meeting on day 0, that would make sense. Then we are upstream to prepare for the meeting with the MAG, if there is such a meeting.

>> CELINE: This is correct, yes.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Would we not have a taking stock meeting of the DCs toward the end?

>> CELINE: I think it is important to first meet before meeting with the MAG. Of course, it can be on another day, but the most flexibility we have when it comes to the rooms is on day 0. It might be more challenging to accommodate a meeting in -- on another Day of The IGF.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Well, one question Avri has in the chat. Will there be online capabilities?

>> CELINE: Yes.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: We can have a final joint DC Coordination Group meeting in the side rooms, but there is no online capabilities if that is my understanding, is that correct?

>> CELINE: There are three meeting rooms that will have virtual participation. These meeting rooms will probably not be large enough to have all of the in-person DC members. That is why I would rather suggest that we have it in one of the workshop rooms that are available on day 0. And meet then. So that will definitely guarantee the virtual participation and have everyone on-site.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Okay. Let's agree on that, take that as a given, very good. So we can actually concretely prepare for the meeting with the MAG. I think that was -- correct me, I think it was in Paris, where we had a fairly spontaneous sort of meeting in one of the big meeting rooms, but it was not organized. We just had the few of us together to take stock.

And that can also be in one of the smaller rooms. But how big of the smaller rooms, you said, with online capabilities?

>> CELINE: They would be around 20 persons. But when it comes to taking stock, this is something we can do also after the IGF and in an online meeting.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: True. True. It sometimes, when the memories are still fresh it is -- I would suggest leaving that opportunity or that possibility open that we could still, if we gain or meet every day at the booth, beginning of the day, we can also then if there is no appetite for meeting at the end, if there is appetite let's organize that relatively short notice. We can be spontaneous with that.

I remember in the part of, we had it in Guadalajara and had it in Paris and in Berlin. I think they were helpful as such, when memories are still fresh.

>> JUTA: I couldn't agree more with you Markus. It is helpful. We can see how many from the Dynamic Coalition will be on-site in Riyadh. And we can decide if a room for 20 is sufficient. And otherwise I think we met on one accommodation in a bigger room, sharing a smaller part of the room. I think we can do that spontaneous. But should inform Dynamic Coalitions that we will try to have such an informal meeting at the end of the IGF.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Again, emphasize the importance of meeting every day at the booth to precisely discuss this kind of issue.

It is good to plan, but it is also good to leave room for relatively spontaneous meetings. If there is no appetite, that is fine. But we have the capacity for it measure questions? Or do we have a clear picture on it?

One thing I have for Celine for the meeting, on the stage with the booths. Is that online capability for the meeting as well.

>> CELINE: Yes, it will be with virtual participation.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Okay. Thank you. Have we dealt with all of the aspects of the Agenda? Can we then go to any other business?

>> JUDITH: Markus, I have my hand up.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: I didn't see that. Thank you.

>> JUDITH: With the stage. Is that a short presentation about something? Is there a structure for booking the stage?

Something like that? Thanks.

>> CELINE: This is something coordinated by the IGF Secretariat and the host countries with all of the session proposals already received during the call for session submissions. It will be allocated or on that stage. Networking sessions or launches or awards. And now exhibition booth organizers may have a slot. Now I'm asking the Dynamic Coalitions if there is an interest in having a short session at the IGF village on the stage?

>> JUDITH: Is it like a short session, like lightning talks. Or like a preview?

>> CELINE: Very short session, uh-huh.

>> JUDITH: Like a preview, come hear our session on such and such day.

>> CELINE: It is like a lightning talk it could be an opportunity to introduce the Dynamic Coalition and invite you to come to --

>> JUDITH: I think we could be -- we could be interested.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: It is for the DCs that didn't get their own session. Especially if they didn't get a slot for their presentation. We should hand it up.

>> DINO: To confirm, from the Dynamic Coalition that I represent, we would be happy to contribute to this activity. We have recently and was posted at intercession news. We have adopted the technology called the decentralized organisation to go with the Dynamic Coalition itself. To prove we are transparent, accountable and so forth, so on. We would like to share with other Dynamic Coalitions that are interested in the lessons learned in using this technology that is open source. There is no cost associated. That is the first thing.

The second thing, some Dynamic Coalitions did not receive a session.

My question was where the session publicized? Was there an outcome of the review of the session made public? I have been checking the IGF website, but it seems the message is it will be done shortly. Thank you.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Celine, can you answer that question?

>> CELINE: Yes, of course. Two seconds.

Sorry. Yes, of course. This is the information that come from -- this is the request to get the joint proposal in August. We'll get those proposed and will have it -- (audio skipping)

>> DINO: To understand better. In our case, there was no possibility for joint. Doesn't mean we're automatically excluded from the list. Only joint proposal accepted?

>> CELINE: Until the 30th we were waiting for the proposals to come back to us. Depending on the numbers we received and the space we have in the IGF schedule, we'll then allocate the various sessions. Now exactly -- so we'll come back now to all of the Dynamic Coalition and inform them about the status.

>> DINO: Thank you so much.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you. Is there anything under any other business that anyone would like to address?

I see some people have already left the meeting.

If there is nothing else under any other business ... then I hand back to my co-facilitator Juta, can you close the meeting, please?

>> JUTA: Thank you, Markus for giving me the floor. Thank you all for joining the meeting today. It was productive. And we're pretty much looking forward to go on with the preparations for this year's IGF. Thank you and bye-bye.

(Thank-yous and good-byes)

(Concluded)

This text, document or file is based on live transcription. Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART), captioning, and/or live transcription are provided in order to facilitate communication accessibility and may not be a totally verbatim record of the proceedings. This text, document, or file is not to be distributed or used in any way that may violate copyright law.